

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,240	12/30/2003	Anurag Gupta	134736-1	8894
AT BOTTO.	EXAMINER			
GLOBAL RESEARCH			SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			[T	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/750,240	GUPTA, ANURAG		
		Examiner	Art Unit .		
	•	Edgardo San Martin	2837		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
Period fo			•		
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repvill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De	ecember 2003.			
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
	Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the application.	•			
1)63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	* · ·			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are rejected.	•	. •		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
.8) <u></u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Annlicat	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		v the Examiner		
. 10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	' '		
Driority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 7	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
а)	<ul><li>☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li><li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ul>	s have been received			
	Certified copies of the priority documents     Certified copies of the priority documents		nlication No		
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• '	<del></del>		
	application from the International Bureau	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eceived.		
Attachmen	• •	. <u></u>			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date		
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/30/03;4/8/05</u> .		ormal Patent Application		

Art Unit: 2837

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanek (US 6,571,549) in view of Lee et al. (NPL titled "Preliminary Study of Oscillating Triangular Jets").

With respect to claims 1, 27, 60 and 61, Stanek teaches a device for reducing jet engine exhaust noise, comprising at least one oscillating jet (Fig.1, Items 12 and 14) coupled to an engine exhaust portion (Fig.1, Item 110), the oscillating jet comprising a nozzle with an orifice formed therein (Fig.4, Item 45), and an exhaust pipe coupled to the nozzle, wherein a flow of gas passes through the orifice and exits from the exhaust pipe, having an oscillating flow, and wherein at least a portion of the gas from the exhaust pipe mixes with engine exhaust passing through the engine exhaust portion (Figs.1 – 3, Col.3, Line 36 – Col.6, Line 32); but fails to disclose wherein the oscillating jet comprises a nozzle with a triangular shaped orifice formed therein.

On the other hand, Lee et al. teach an oscillating jet comprising a nozzle with a triangular orifice formed therein (Figs.1a and 1b, section titled "Oscillating Jet Nozzle").

Application/Control Number: 10/750,240

Art Unit: 2837

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Lee et al. triangular orifice configuration with the Stanek design because it would provide an oscillating jet flow with a large oscillation amplitude and spreading angle that could operate with a lower energy loss coefficient, producing a continuous oscillation over a wider range of chamber-length over chamber-diameter ratios.

With respect to claims 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 12 – 15, 28 – 30, 32, 34, 35, 39 – 46, 60 and 61, Stanek teaches the limitations described in the claims (Figs. 1 – 4; Col.2, Line 1 – Col.3, Line 12 and Col.3, Line 36 – Col.6, Line 23).

With respect to claims 9 – 11, 16 – 22, 24, 25, 36 – 38, 47 – 55, 57, 58, 60 and 61, Lee et al. teach the limitations described in claims (Fig.1, Table 1 and complete written content of Lee et al. document).

With respect to claims 4, 6, 31 and 33, the Examiner considers that it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to place the oscillating jet within the lip portion because it would improve the mixing of the secondary flow with the primary flow taking advantage of the swirling profile that the primary flow follows inside the lip portion.

With respect to claims 23, 26, 56 and 59, the Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art of jet propulsion to control the speed and temperature of the secondary flow in order to avoid the generation of Mach waves.

With respect to claims 62 – 69, the Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art of jet propulsion to insert combustible into the primary flow in order to create an after-burning effect that would increase the thrust of the jet engine.

## Conclusion

2. The attached hereto PTO Form 892 lists prior art made of record that the Examiner considered it pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

## **Contact Information**

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext.37. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Edgardo San Martin/

Page 5

Edgardo San Martín Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837 Class 181 June 7, 2007